

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	The state of the s			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,325	01/16/2004	John E. Paff	MSFT-2912/305777.2	8370
41505 WOODCOCK	7590 09/27/2007 WASHBURN LLP (MI	CROSOFT CORPORATION)	EXAMINER	
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR 2929 ARCH STREET			LEE, CHUN KUAN	
	HA, PA 19104-2891		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2181	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/759,325	PAFF ET AL.				
merview dummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chun-Kuan (Mike) Lee	2181				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Alford Kindred (SPE)</u> .	(3) <u>Kenneth Eiferman (Atto</u>	rney Reg. # 51,6	<u>47)</u> .			
(2) Chun-Kuan (Mike) Lee (Examiner).	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>09/19/2007</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: 1,10 and 17.						
Identification of prior art discussed: Kumar et al. (US Patent 7,065,597) and Scott et al. (US Patent 5,311,596).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Please see Continuation Sheet below</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
		}				
			4			
	· ·		/			
		Miri	/			
		D KINDRED Y EXAMINER	•			
Everying Note: Voy must size this form unless it is as						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required				

Application No.

The interview mainly discussed the proposed amendments for independent claims 1, 10 and 17; wherein the applicant further clarified the embodiment of the claimed invention in association with the current proposed amendments.

The applicant mainly discussed the amended claimed limitations of "... a light-based communication connection ... in order to ensure a defied positional relationship between the first and second component ... " wherein the "light-based communication" may be implemented as infrared communication, and the applicant further presented that Kumar and Scott does not teach/suggest individually/in combination the above limitation.

The examiner expressed that the current proposed amends, in association with the claimed limitation of the "light-based communication," appear to correspond to the non-elected Species III from the restriction in the preceding office action, dated June 25, 2007.

No agreements were reached during the interview.